Housing Benefit and Council Tax Benefit Circular

Department for Work and Pensions 1st Floor, Caxton House, Tothill Street, London SW1H 9NA

HB/CTB S11/2011

SUBSIDY CIRCULAR

WHO SHOULD READ	All Housing Benefit (HB) and Council Tax Benefit (CTB) staff
ACTION	For information
SUBJECT	Risk-Based Verification of HB/CTB Claims Guidance

Guidance Manual

The information in this circular does not affect the content of the HB/CTB Guidance Manual.

Queries

If you

- want extra copies of this circular/copies of previous circulars, they can be found on the website at http://www.dwp.gov.uk/local-authority-staff/housing-benefit/user-communications/hbctb-circulars/
- have any queries about the
 - technical content of this circular, contact

Email: <u>HBCTB.SUBSIDYQUERIES@DWP.GSI.GOV.UK</u>

- distribution of this circular, contact

Email: HOUSING.CORRESPONDENCEANDPQS@DWP.GSI.GOV.UK

Crown Copyright 2011

Recipients may freely reproduce this circular.

Contents

	para
Risk-Based Verification of HB/CTB Claims Guidance	!
Introduction	1
Background	2
What is RBV?	5
How does RBV work?	8
The Requirements for LAs that adopt RBV	14
How RBV claims will be certified	18
Other considerations	19
What are the subsidy implications	20

Risk-Based Verification of HB/CTB Claims Guidance

Introduction

1. This guidance outlines the Department's policy on Risk-Based Verification (RBV) of Housing Benefit and Council Tax Benefit (HB/CTB) claims.

Background

- 2. RBV allows more intense verification activity to be focussed on claims more prone to fraud and error. It is practiced on aspects of claims in Jobcentre Plus (JCP) and the Pension Disability and Carers Service (PDCS). Local authorities (LAs) have long argued that they should operate a similar system. It is the intention that RBV will be applied to all Universal Credit claims.
- 3. Given that RBV is practised in JCP and PDCS, the majority (up to 80%) of HB/CTB claims received in an LA may have been subject to some form of RBV. Already 16 LAs operate RBV. Results from these LAs have been impressive. In each case the % of fraud and error identified has increased against local baselines taken from cells 222 and 231 of the Single Housing Benefit Extract (SHBE). In addition, in common with the experience of JCP and PDCS there have been efficiencies in areas such as postage and storage and processing times have improved.
- 4. We therefore wish to extend RBV on a **voluntary basis** to all LAs from April 2012.

This guidance explains the following;

- What is RBV?
- How does RBV work?
- The requirements for LAs that adopt RBV
- How RBV claims will be certified.
- What are the subsidy implications?

What is RBV?

- 5. RBV is a method of applying different levels of checks to benefit claims according to the risk associated with those claims. LAs will still be required to comply with relevant legislation (Social Security Administration Act 1992, section 1 relating to production of National Insurance numbers to provide evidence of identity) while making maximum use of intelligence to target more extensive verification activity on those claims shown to be at greater risk of fraud or error.
- 6. LAs have to take into account HB Regulation 86 and Council Tax Benefit Regulation 72 when verifying claims. The former states:

"a person who makes a claim, or a person to whom housing benefit has been awarded, shall furnish such certificates, documents, information and evidence in connection with the claim or the award, or any question arising out of the claim or the award, as may reasonably be required by the relevant authority in order to determine that person's entitlement to, or continuing entitlement to housing benefit and shall do so within one month of being required to do so or such longer period as the relevant authority may consider reasonable."

Council Tax Benefit Regulation 72 is similar.

7. These Regulations do not impose a requirement on authorities in relation to what **specific** information and evidence they should obtain from a claimant. However, it does require an authority to have information which allows an **accurate assessment** of a claimant's entitlement, both when a claim is first made and when the claim is reviewed. A test of reasonableness should be applied.

How does RBV work?

- 8. RBV assigns a risk rating to each HB/CTB claim. This determines the level of verification required. Greater activity is therefore targeted toward checking those cases deemed to be at highest risk of involving fraud and/or error.
- 9. The classification of risk groups will be a matter for LAs to decide. For example, claims might be divided into 3 categories:
 - Low Risk Claims: Only essential checks are made, such as proof of identity.
 Consequently these claims are processed much faster than before and with significantly reduced effort from Benefit Officers without increasing the risk of fraud or error.
 - Medium Risk Claims: These are verified in the same way as all claims currently, with evidence of original documents required. As now, current arrangements may differ from LA to LA and it is up to LAs to ensure that they are minimising the risk to fraud and error through the approach taken.
 - High Risk Claims: Enhanced stringency is applied to verification. Individual
 LAs apply a variety of checking methods depending on local circumstances.
 This could include Credit Reference Agency checks, visits, increased
 documentation requirements etc. Resource that has been freed up from the
 streamlined approach to low risk claims can be focused on these high risk
 claims.
- 10. We would expect no more than around 55% of claims to be assessed as low risk, with around 25% medium risk and 20% high risk. These figures could vary from LA to LA according to the LA's risk profiling. An additional expectation is that there should be more fraud and error detected in high risk claims when compared with medium risk claims and a greater % in medium risk than low risk. Where this proves not to be the case the risk profile should be revisited.
- 11. LAs may adopt different approaches to risk profile their claimants. Typically this will include the use of IT tools in support of their policy, however, the use of clerical systems is acceptable.

- 12. Some IT tools use a propensity model¹ which assesses against a number of components based on millions of claim assessments to classify the claim into one of the three categories above. Any IT system² must also ensure that the risk profiles include 'blind cases' where a sample of low or medium risk cases are allocated to a higher risk group, thus requiring heightened verification. This is done in order to test and refine the software assumptions.
- 13. Once the category is identified, individual claims cannot be downgraded by the benefit processor to a lower risk group. They can however, exceptionally, be upgraded if the processor has reasons to think this is appropriate.

The requirements for LAs that adopt RBV

- 14. RBV will be voluntary. However, all LAs opting to apply RBV will be required to have in place a RBV Policy detailing the risk profiles, verification standards which will apply and the minimum number of claims to be checked. We consider it to be good practice for the Policy to be examined by the authority's Audit and Risk Committee or similar appropriate body if they exist. The Policy must be submitted for Members' approval and sign-off along with a covering report confirming the Section 151 Officer's (section 85 for Scotland) agreement/recommendation. The information held in the Policy, which would include the risk categories, should not be made public due to the sensitivity of its contents.
- 15. The Policy must allow Members, officers and external auditors to be clear about the levels of verification necessary. It must be reviewed annually but not changed in-year as this would complicate the audit process.
- 16. Every participating LA will need a robust baseline against which to record the impact of RBV. The source of this baseline is for the LA to determine. Some LAs carry out intensive activity (along the lines of the HB Review) to measure the stock of fraud and error in their locality. We suggest that the figures derived from cells 222 and 231 of SHBE would constitute a baseline of fraud and error currently identified by LAs.
- 17. Performance using RBV would need to be monitored monthly to ensure its effectiveness. Reporting, which must be part of the overall Policy, must, as a minimum, include the % of cases in each risk category and the levels of fraud and error detected in each.

How RBV claims will be certified?

18. Auditors will check during the annual certification that the subsidy claim adheres to the LA's RBV Policy which will state the necessary level of verification needed to support the correct processing of each type of HB/CTB claim. The risk category will need to be recorded against each claim. Normally the LA's benefit IT/clerical system will allow this annotation.

_

¹ Whilst DWP is of the opinion that the use of IT will support the success of RBV, it does not in anyway endorse any product or company

² The same safeguard must be applied to clerical systems

Other considerations

19. The sample selection for HB/CTB cases will not change i.e. 20 cases will be selected for each headline cell on the claim form. The HB COUNT guidance used by the external auditors for certification will include instructions for how to deal with both non-RBV and RBV cases if selected in the sample. For non-RBV cases, the verification requirements will remain the same i.e. LAs will be expected to provide all the documentary evidence to support the claim.

What are the subsidy implications?

- 20. Failure by a LA to apply verification standards to HB/CTB claims as stipulated in its RBV Policy will cause the expenditure to be treated as LA error. The auditor will identify this error and if deemed necessary extrapolate the extent and, where appropriate, issue a qualifying letter. In determining the subsidy implications, the extrapolation of this error will be based on the RBV cases where the error occurred. For this reason, it is important that RBV case information is routinely collected by ensuring that LA HB systems incorporate a flag to identify these RBV cases. If sub-populations on RBV cases can not be identified, extrapolations will have to be performed across the whole population in the particular cell in question.
- 21. We will now work with the respective audit bodies to incorporate this into the COUNT guidance. If you have any queries please contact Manny Ibiayo by e-mail HBCTB.SUBSIDYQUERIES@DWP.GSI.GOV.UK